EXHIBIT B

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
3	
4	
5	MDL No. 16-2738(FLW)(LHG)
б	IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON
	TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
7	MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,
	AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	The remote video deposition of WILLIAM LONGO,
15	Ph.D., taken via Zoom videoconference on
16	May 2, 2024, commencing at approximately
17	11:20 a.m., before Lois Anne Robinson,
18	Certified Realtime Reporter.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Page 46 Page 48 1 A 1 it right there. That is a true statement. 2 So we consider SOPs confidential and 2 Q Okay. 3 company records. We don't turn over SOPs, and 3 A Now, we have a chart of all our J&J 4 not too many experts do. 4 testing that has been provided to defendants. If 5 I were to stack up the notebooks that -- you 5 O Sorry, Doctor. I didn't follow -- I 6 know, just -- just taking a look at the -- all 6 got the last part. But the part before that, you 7 mentioned you're one of the few labs, something 7 the historic -- the J&J historical samples, where 8 we have 19- -- you know, 1960, 1970, 1980, 1990, 8 about turnover? I wasn't sure what you were 9 talking about. Sorry. 9 2000s up to 2002 or 2003, and, before that, it 10 A I think we're one of the few labs that 10 was maybe 50-some samples from eBay, et cetera. 11 And then after, you know, Johnson & Johnson, the 11 put a very extensive materials and methods 12 only additional samples we did -- because, you 12 section in just to go through each step of what 13 know, Johnson & Johnson was in bankrupt [sic] for 13 we do. And using those materials/methods 14 section, anybody could duplicate the analysis. 14 two years -- was the -- you know, the Alphadet --15 MS. O'DELL: 15 O And when you say materials and methods 16 Valadez? 16 section, you're referring to the materials and 17 A -- Valadez -- excuse me -- was a 17 methods section in your expert report; right? 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 sample, and a couple more for the -- for the MDL, 19 Reports. 19 for some of the containers for the -- you know, 20 A In every report we have. From 20 for this project. There's nothing else. We 21 provided all the -- you know, all the selected 21 receiving the sample to weighing it out, to --22 area electron diffraction patterns, all the ADXA. 22 you know, through the -- out of the -- you know, 23 through the muffle furnace to get rid of the 23 There's nothing else. 24 MR. EWALD: 24 organics, to weighing it, then going and doing Page 47 Page 49 All right. Looking at request 31 in 1 the heavy liquid spin time on the centrifuge, the 1 Q 2 what we marked as Exhibit 6, it asks for all 2 name -- name and -- on what products we're using 3 standard operating procedures (SOPs) maintained 3 so they can buy the same products, the same 4 by your laboratory for testing bulk materials for 4 centrifuge, if they'd like, et cetera, et cetera. 5 asbestos by PLM, TEM, and SEM. 5 So it's not inhibiting, in my opinion, And, Doctor, my question to you is: 6 any other experts from trying to do this work. 7 Does MAS maintain any standard operating 7 And it must -- it must be okay, because 8 procedures for the testing of talc samples by PLM 8 Alan Seagrave has duplicated this method for 9 for the presence of chrysotile? 9 using right out -- protocols right out of our 10 A No. We haven't finished the standard 10 paper, right out of our reports. 11 operating procedures because we keep doing 11 Now, he didn't find chrysotile, and --12 research and changing slight -- slight 12 but he never complained that there wasn't enough 13 conditions, so -- until we finally have. 13 information for him to do this work, and that's 14 But what I may -- but what we do 14 a -- you know, that's a defense expert that 15 actually did the CSM method. 15 provide, in every analysis we do have chrysotile 16 has materials and methods section that anybody 16 O And I apologize. I'm not familiar with 17 can follow, and it doesn't really have --17 that. How -- how recently was that? 18 18 A If we had written SOPs for every time I have a report of his floating around, 19 we made a change, it wouldn't really change 19 a couple of them. I don't know if I can put my 20 any -- it -- you know, it really wouldn't give 20 hands on them or not. But if my client asks me, 21 any additional information. That's why I think 21 I will certainly look for it. 22 we're one of the few laboratories, when they do 22 Q And in looking at those reports where 23 he didn't find chrysotile, what is your response

24 to his conclusions?

23 an analysis, they actually put in every step they24 do. And for any changes, then we, you know, show

Page 148 Page 146 1 about the MAS LLC HLS analysis for amphibole 1 Colorado School of Mines, did they use 2.72? 2 asbestos by TEM, and you lay out your procedure 2 A No. They never used 2.72. 3 for that. Correct? 3 Q Okay. 4 A 4 A They said less than 2.65. Correct. 5 O 5 O Okay. And then we have MAS LLC HLS Okay. 6 analysis for chrysotile asbestos by PLM, and you 6 A But our initial trying everything, that 7 lay out the procedure that MAS was using for this 7 was being -- that gave the most. And it was 8 at the time. Correct? 8 said -- you know, we had -- we had some technical 9 A 9 difficulties trying to repeat their stuff. Correct. 10 O 10 But, no, they didn't use 2.72 What aspect --11 11 initially. Well, it's not what they put in their Well, I'll just go line by line. Stain 200 milligrams of cosmetic talc 12 final protocol. 12 13 with betadine, 2 percent iodine solution, filter 13 O Centrifuge at 500 rpm for 5 minutes, 14 stain talc material and wash in alcohol/Di-water. 14 then 1800 rpm for 5 minutes, is that still MAS 15 Do you still use that as part of MAS's 15 LLC HLS analysis for chrysotile asbestos by PLM? 16 HLS analysis for chrysotile asbestos by PLM? 16 A For this sample, we did it for 72 hours 17 A No. As I discussed earlier in this 17 at 21 degrees Celsius without breaking. 18 deposition, that the iodine worked really well 18 Q And at the time Colorado School of 19 for the 1866b NIST chrysotile standards because 19 Mines was doing analysis in 1974, did they use 20 of the very large bundles that were in there. 20 the same centrifuge time? 21 O 21 A Okay. I'm not sure they published in there 22 A But when we got to looking for it for 22 what centrifuge time they were using. 23 the size of the bundles of chrysotile that was in 23 This particular centrifuge time was 24 the cosmetic talc, the 2 percent iodine solution 24 used by Reynolds in the -- the Windsor project, Page 147 Page 149 1 did not absorb enough to it so it gave it any 1 where they hired him to look for amphiboles in 2 ability to see it. So it just didn't work. And 2 their product -- I mean in the -- in the -- in 3 I won't mention that -- other scientists who came 3 their Vermont talc. And he found actinolite, and 4 to the same conclusion. 4 he says he believes the other was anthophyllite. And we were using betadine, but the 5 He ran standards, and he showed that it was in 6 method called for pure iodine. The problem with 6 there. So I borrowed their centrifuge time. 7 pure iodine, one, in order to get it, you have to Okay. The part about fine tweezer, 8 fill out a lot of paperwork for the DEA because 8 remove stained chrysotile bundles from filter and 9 it's a precursor in meth productions. 9 place on glass slide, MAS doesn't do that 10 And, two, once you made up the 10 anymore -- right? -- because they don't stain the 11 solution, it only had about a two- -- a three- or 11 particles. Right? 12 four-day shelf life. And we weren't working on 12 A That went pretty quickly. That 13 it all day long. And, again, we never used the 13 didn't -- that didn't last long. 14 iodine for identification. It was just supposed 14 O Okay. And when you say "have validated 15 to help, and it didn't work. So we dropped that 15 detection limit of approximately 0.0001 percent 16 pretty quick after this. 16 by weight fibers per gram of talc," you're 17 Q And the 2.72g/cc HLS, is that the same 17 talking about, quote, validation procedures that 18 that you use today for the heavy liquid? 18 were done internally by MAS; right? 19 A Today -- I'll just give you an update 19 A Correct. 20 on the very last one we did for Johnson & 20 O Okay. And yet we've gone through the 21 Johnson. And this one --21 various discrepancies, some of the discrepancies 22 And this was the Kirch on 2-15-2024. 22 between the MAS method and the Colorado School of 23 We used 2 .65. 23 Mines method, but you, earlier today and in the 24 Q Okay. And do you recall in the 1974 24 past have called this, what you were doing, just

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the

foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete

transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers

as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal

Solutions further represents that the attached

exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete

documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or

attorneys in relation to this deposition and that

the documents were processed in accordance with

our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.